techniques, exercise, or some other activity. Some short-term subjective benefits as well as temporary objective changes in blood pressure and electromyographic activity have been reported. Benefits at the organizational level, such as increased attendance, productivity, and reduced health care costs, have not been shown, however.

Recent investigations have explored ways to assess the sources of stress in the environment and to modify these. Research based on organizational interventions has yielded some encouraging results. New survey questionnaires, some of which are commercially available, can help determine the personal and organizational sources of stress; the data can be aggregated to provide an organizational stress profile that, in turn, can serve as the basis for planning interventions. One program resulted in reductions in all types of workers' compensation claims from 3.08 to 0.63 claims per month, with the average cost per claim dropping from $7,329 to $384. A key factor in the success of these programs is the commitment of managers to implement changes based on survey results.

Several recent studies have focused on job decision latitude (JDL) as a powerful predictor of workplace stress and future morbidity. Defined as an employee's ability to exercise decision-making authority at work and to choose the skills used on the job, JDL has been widely studied. In this model, work stress is the result of the interaction between JDL and job demands. Other factors being equal, a person with a highly demanding job but little control over its implementation is likely to be under more stress. Some of the other factors that are considered are lack of personal scheduling freedom and few opportunities for new learning on the job.

Low JDL has proved in several studies to be strongly associated with cardiovascular disease. A relationship between low JDL and cardiovascular disease in employed Swedish men was shown in one study. Another study reported a 22-year prospective study of pulp mill employees that showed higher mortality in people with low JDL. An interventional study showed that redesigning a work unit in order to increase JDL resulted in improved employee health as well as productivity.

Limited by their cost, complexity, and risk, organizational stress interventions are still relatively poorly studied and understood. They now represent the leading edge of occupational stress research and appear to hold promise for improved control of this problem.

JAMES P. SEWARD, MD, MPP
Berkeley, California

REFERENCES

Karasek R, Theorell T: Healthy Work. New York, NY, Basic Books, 1990

Seward J: Occupational stress, *In* LaDou J: Occupational Medicine. Norwalk, Conn, Appleton and Lange, 1990, pp 467-480

Steinbrook C, Green L: Measurement and evaluation methods for work stress management, *In* Stress Management in Work Settings. Washington, DC, US Department of Health and Human Services (PHS) 87-111, 1987, pp 109-147

Wallin L, Wright I: Psychosocial aspects of the work environment: A group approach. J Occup Med 1986; 28:384-393

Mercury

MERCURY IS AN old occupational hazard that remains a problem in dental offices, health care institutions, and some homes.

Elemental mercury is a heavy metal that interferes with the sulfhydryl groups of proteins, particularly active sites of enzymes. The major manifestations of these chemical reactions are nephrotoxicity, primarily proteinuria and tubular necrosis, and neurotoxicity, which can be profound with high exposure. Cardinal symptoms of mercury toxicity include tremors, oral and gingival inflammation, ataxia, excessive and uncontrollable salivation, anorexia and weight loss, labile affect and irritability (erethism), pathologic shyness and avoidance of people, and acrodynia (erythema and painful desquamative dermatitis of the hands and feet). The deterioration of handwriting over time is often taken as one indication of subclinical effect. Persons with congenital mercury toxicity will have severe mental retardation and motor abnormalities, including disturbances in swallowing. Congenital organic mercury poisoning was perhaps most poignantly illustrated by the severe outbreak in Minamata, Japan, in the 1950s.

Organic mercury compounds are more rapidly absorbed than elemental mercury, especially by ingestion, and also are more toxic. These compounds are products of metabolism, accumulated in fish in mercury-contaminated rivers and estuaries, for example, and have been used as fungicides, particularly methylmercury on seeds. Organic mercury compounds are metabolized to mercury in the body, with the same toxicity as metallic mercury. Organomercurials were once used extensively as medications in the preantibiotic era and were responsible for many cases of toxicity. Organic mercury compounds are also used as preservatives in some latex paints and have also been associated with excessive exposure to mercury and clinical acrodynia.

Overt mercury toxicity has been reported in heavily contaminated dental offices, particularly where there has been an ineffective cleanup of spills. Most dental personnel are now aware of the hazard and often request blood mercury determinations as screening tests during physical examinations. There is also a debate over whether the minute amounts of mercury that vaporize from dental amalgams in patients may be toxic; the best evidence to date suggests that this is not the case. In health care institutions, mercury remains a part of many instruments. In the home, mercury exposure has been associated with spilling small quantities kept in the home for hobbies, as a by-product of efforts at home smelting, and as a constituent of interior latex paint.

Mercury vaporizes at room temperature. Inhalation can be substantial, especially if the mercury is heated at the source. A recent severe case of home exposure involved a quantity of mercury inadvertently spilled into a space heater that became a source of exposure for many months.

Mercury levels in blood or urine do not correlate with symptoms, probably because toxicity is intracellular and much circulating mercury is bound with protein. Cases of mercury poisoning, however, will show elevated levels. Relatively low levels of exposure, with urine or blood levels just outside the range of a reference population, have not been associated with demonstrable abnormalities; levels may vary by laboratory, with 24-hour yields from urine ranging from 0 to 50 nmol per liter. Hair analysis is not reliable for clinical diagnosis.

Treatment of serious mercury intoxication should be left to physicians with experience in clinical toxicology. Chela-

tion with dimercaprol, penicillamine, or their analogues is generally attempted but is less successful in mercury than in lead toxicity, presumably because the mercury is more chemically reactive and likely to be bound. The chelating agents also carry the risk of severe side effects.

TEE L. GUIDOTTI, MD
Edmonton, Alberta

REFERENCES

Agocs MM, Etzel RA, Parrish RG, et al: Mercury exposure from interior latex paint. N Engl J Med 1990; 323:1096-1100

Clarkson TW: Mercury—An element of mystery. N Engl J Med 1990; 323:1137-1138

Florentine MJ, Sanfilippo DJ II: Elemental mercury poisoning. Clin Pharm 1991; 10:213-221

Powell PP: Minimata disease: A story of mercury's malevolence. South Med J 1991; 84:1352-1358

ADVISORY PANEL TO THE SECTION ON OCCUPATIONAL MEDICINE